



**To** FMS Subcommittee **Date** April 15, 2016

**From** P. J. Prisaznuk **Reference** 16-059/FMS-001 lth  
pjp@sae-itc.org  
tel +1-410-212-0913

**Subject** **Meeting Report**  
**Flight Management System (FMS) Subcommittee**  
**March 29-31, 2016 - Grand Rapids, Michigan**

**Summary** GE Aviation hosted the FMS Subcommittee meeting at their facility in Grand Rapids, Michigan.

The purpose of the meeting was to review inputs to Supplement 5 to **ARINC Characteristic 702A: Advanced Flight Management Computer System**.

Discussion papers were presented on the following topics:

- Magnetic variation model recommendations
- Lateral path transition containment refinement
- Fixed Radius Turn (FRT) refinements
- Crew selection of Required Navigation Performance (RNP) values for Authorization Required (AR) approach
- Lateral offset recommendations
- Temperature compensation for vertical approach guidance
- Vertical path construction
- AT and AT OR ABOVE speed constraints
- ETA min/max computation and RTA performance

Each of the respective discussion papers will be updated for additional review prior to inclusion in Supplement 5 to ARINC Characteristic 702A.

The FMS Subcommittee will have monthly web conferences to discuss specific topics. The next in-person FMS Subcommittee meeting is scheduled tentatively for July 6-8, 2016 in Annapolis, Maryland.

The goal is to produce a mature Supplement 5 at the end of 2017.

**Comments and Inquiries** Comments and questions on this report may be directed to Paul Prisaznuk.

**cc** DLK, SAI

# Meeting Report

## FMS Subcommittee Meeting

### March 29-31, 2016

#### FMS Subcommittee Meets in Grand Rapids

Chairman Mike Bakker, GE Aviation, welcomed the FMS Subcommittee to Grand Rapids, Michigan. The purpose of the meeting was to review inputs to Supplement 5 to **ARINC Characteristic 702A: Advanced Flight Management Computer System**.

The meeting agenda was accepted as presented. It is reproduced as Attachment 1 to this report.

#### ARINC Standard Development Process and Intellectual Property

Paul Prisaznuk, ARINC Industry Activities (IA), welcomes the participants. He described the policies pertinent to the use of Intellectual Property (IP) in the development of ARINC Standards.

He noted that by signing the attendance book at an ARINC Industry Activities' meeting or by submitting material for consideration at the meeting, individuals confirm that they understand the ARINC IA policies and agree to comply with those policies.

Copies of these policies are available on the ARINC IA website ([http://www.aviation-ia.com/aeec/ip\\_policies/index.html](http://www.aviation-ia.com/aeec/ip_policies/index.html)).

#### APIM 15-005 Review – ARINC 702A Update

Paul Prisaznuk reported that the AEEC Executive Committee has approved APIM 15-005 to initiate the development of Supplement 5 to ARINC Characteristic 702A.

Supplement 5 to ARINC Characteristic 702A will be aligned to applicable RTCA and EUROCAE standards to support Performance-Based Navigation (PBN), Trajectory Based Operations (TBO), and enhanced datalink.

Supplement 5 to ARINC Characteristic 702A is intended to reflect RTCA DO-236C Change 1 requirements and recommendations as follows:

- Magnetic variation model recommendations
- Lateral path transition containment refinement
- Fixed Radius Turn (FRT) refinements
- Crew selection of RNP values for AR approach
- Lateral offset recommendations
- Temperature compensation for vertical approach guidance
- Vertical path construction
- AT and AT OR ABOVE speed constraints
- ETA min/max computation and RTA performance

APIM 15-005 calls for a mature draft at the end of 2017. The APIM is reproduced as Attachment 2 to this report.

#### Review Proposed Revisions to ARINC 702A

The FMS Subcommittee discussed a number of “white papers” that were prepared prior to the meeting in Grand Rapids. Each paper proposes an addition

or a modification to ARINC 702A. The FMS Subcommittee discussed the process for achieving consensus on the changes. Once consensus is reached on the content of white papers, the material will serve as the basis for Supplement 5 and be folded into ARINC Characteristic 702A.

### **Magnetic Variation Model Updates**

Sam Miller, MITRE, proposed a new Section 4.3.1.8, Magnetic Variation. This section will define how the FMS would incorporate a hierarchy for assigning magnetic variation (MagVar) sources. This input will support Procedure Design MagVar (PDMV) definition in **ARINC Specification 424: Navigation System Database (NDB)**.

The FMS Subcommittee concurred that the FMS should have the capability of assigning a MagVar at any location when operations are conducted relative to Magnetic North. The MagVar value may be retrieved from the NDB, or in the absence of an NDB-specified value, computed using an internal magnetic reference. The FMS Subcommittee suggested changes to the input. Sam Miller agreed to update the material for review at the next meeting.

### **Lateral Path Transition Containment (bank angle limits)**

Sam Miller proposed modification to Section 4.3.3.1.2, Lateral Leg Transitions, to align with the recommendations of RTCA 283B MOPS. This proposal also takes into consideration RTCA DO-236C RNP MASPS that describe transitions between flight plan legs. New material was added to address the fly-by transition and the theoretical transition area as described in the RNP MASPS. Additionally, the transition area when the course change exceeds a nominal value was defined. Text was also added to clarify the fly-over transition, the Fixed Radius Turn (FRT), and the respective operation.

The FMS Subcommittee concurred that leg-to-leg transitions should provide a continuous path between legs and the path would be determined by the course change between the legs, the type of next leg, waypoint overfly requirement, bank angle limitations, and the predicted speeds for the transition. Leg transition paths must be constructed within the airspace limitations specified in the RNP MASPS for operation within RNP airspace. The FMS Subcommittee expressed general support for this concept. This input will be updated for review at the next meeting.

### **Fixed Radius Turn Refinements**

Sam Miller provided a proposal to add Section 4.3.3.1.2.3, Fixed Radius Transitions (FRT). The FRT defines course change transitions along airways when parallel routes are closely spaced at the transition waypoint and the fly-by turn is not compatible with separation criteria. The RTCA DO-236C RNP MASPS specify the geometry and method of computing the fixed turn radius. The FRT is defined in terms of the track change, turn radius, and lead distance. For those enroute airways using an FRT, the turn radius is coded in the ARINC 424 navigation database for the respective waypoint where the FRT is specified. The FMS Subcommittee expressed general support for this concept. This input will be updated for review at the next meeting.

### **Crew Selection of RNP Values for Authorization Required (AR) Approach**

Sam Miller proposed changes to Section 4.3.1.3.1.1, Manually Selected RNP Values. The existing ARINC 702A-4 text addresses a manually entered value. Additional commentary will be added that describes when a manually entered

RNP value may be necessary for safe operation of an instrument approach procedure.

FAA AC 20-138D and AC 90-101A provide guidance on the entry of RNP values for instrument approach procedures. In the case of a procedure with decreasing RNP values along the path, the respective RNP value for each leg would be coded in the navigation database and the FMS would extract the applicable RNP value on each leg. In the case where the values are not coded on each leg, the flight crew is then responsible for ensuring the RNP value is entered into the FMS.

The concept of “Scalable RNP” was discussed. It is expected that the ARINC 424 Navigation Database will encode this data and the FMS will have the capability to extract the RNP values from the data. This concept will be reflected in the Navigation Database section of ARINC Characteristic 702A. This input will be updated for review at the next meeting.

### **Lateral Offset Recommendation**

Sylvain Hamel, CMC, proposed changes to Section 4.3.3.2.4.6, Lateral Offset Construction. Recent changes to RTCA DO-236C RNP MASPS will require functional changes to the FMS, including the Parallel Offset function. The RNP MAPS provides additional requirements and guidance intended to ensure better path consistency and to enable more efficient use of parallel offset in the airspace.

In the CMC proposal, the flight planning function can create a parallel flight plan by specifying a direction (left or right of path) and distance of up to 99 nm. The initiation of the offset can either be pre-planned by defining the start and end point or be initiated at the current aircraft position.

The FMS Subcommittee concurred that Supplement 5 needs to be revised to reflect those changes. This input will be updated for review at the next meeting.

### **Temperature Compensation**

The FMS Subcommittee discussed the need for temperature compensation on barometric altitude data used with VNAV approaches. During the approach phase, the FMS needs highly accurate altitude reporting. Sylvain Hamel, CMC, pointed out that the topic of temperature compensation is not addressed at all in ARINC 702A-4. Therefore, CMC proposed a new Section 4.3.3.2.2.7, Temperature Compensation.

Because this feature is described as optional in the RTCA DO-236C RNP MASPS and RTCA DO-283B RNP MOPS, CMC suggested that it be included within Supplement 5 to ARINC Characteristic 702A.

To enable baro-VNAV approach operations outside published temperature limits, it is recommended that the system corrects for the effects of temperature on the barometric altitude. Systems providing automatic temperature compensation to the baro-VNAV guidance must comply with RTCA/DO-236C Appendix H and RTCA/DO-283B Appendix H.

Randy Walter, Consultant to GE Aviation, questioned the need for the FMS to provide temperature compensation. He suggested that this is an altimetry problem that could be corrected elsewhere on the airplane. Honeywell suggested a generic definition in ARINC 702A to enable manual entry as necessary. The FMS Subcommittee recommended that this capability be defined as an option in Supplement 5. This input will be updated for review at the next meeting.

## Vertical Path and Vertical Trajectory Construction

Randy Walter provided a discussion paper describing vertical path construction guidelines using vertical trajectory. Today, different FMSs apply different construction rules that can cause a significant variance in the vertical airspace utilized in VNAV operation. A key component of future airspace management is new procedures that rely on more consistent vertical control of the aircraft.

Section 4.3.3.2.1, Vertical Trajectory Construction, was proposed as a replacement and expansion of existing material. Standardization of the means to construct a vertical trajectory would enable similar vertical trajectory rules to be applied among all FMSs compliant to RTCA DO-236C.

The FMS Subcommittee recognized the need for standardized construction of the vertical trajectory provided by an FMS. They recommended that the vertical trajectory be defined independent of path prediction.

The recommended subsections would include:

1. Takeoff Segment Construction
2. Climb Segment Construction
3. Cruise Segment Construction
4. Descent Segment Construction
5. Missed Approach Segment Construction

Randy pointed out that Continuous Climb Cruise and Continuous Descent Approach are terms from **ARINC Report 660B: CNS/ATM Avionics Architectures Supporting NextGen/SESAR Concepts**. He suggested that they be included in Supplement 5 to ARINC Characteristic 702A. Terms may include:

- CCC – Continuous Climb Cruise
- CCD – Continuous Climb Departure
- CDA – Continuous Descent Approach
- CDO – Continuous Descent Operations

The FMS Subcommittee expressed general support for this concept. This input will be updated for review at the next meeting.

## AT and AT or ABOVE Speed Constraints

Mike Bakker, GE Aviation, proposed new material on the subject of AT and AT or ABOVE Waypoint Speed Constraints. He proposed Section 4.3.3.2.2.6, Speed and Altitude Restrictions. The changes are a result of corresponding material included in the RTCA DO-236C RNP MASPS. Because DO-236C provides “minimum airspace performance standards”, the guidance provided in Supplement 5 to ARINC 702A is intended to be much more comprehensive.

At present, speed constraints defined by the navigation database are entered by the crew and treated as AT or BELOW speed constraints. Furthermore, ARINC 424 now includes a speed descriptor field with each waypoint speed constraint. While the RNP MASPS define a minimal set of requirements, they do not provide any guidance in terms of what takes precedence when an ABOVE speed constraint conflicts with the speed schedule, airport speed limit, ICAO limit, or other waypoint speed constraints. Clarification is needed to ensure sufficient understanding and standardization across aircraft when designing and flying navigation procedures with AT and AT or ABOVE speed constraints. The FMS Subcommittee concurred.

Mike suggested the following set of altitude constraints by flight phase:

Altitude Constraint Type	Altitude Constraint Phase/Applicability	
	CLIMB	DESCENT
AT or BELOW	Must remain AT or BELOW until waypoint sequence	Must remain AT or BELOW upon waypoint sequence
AT or ABOVE	Must remain AT or ABOVE upon waypoint sequence	Must remain AT or ABOVE until waypoint sequence
AT	Must remain AT or BELOW until waypoint sequence; Must remain AT or ABOVE upon waypoint sequence; Must sequence AT altitude.	Must remain AT or BELOW upon waypoint sequence; Must remain AT or ABOVE until waypoint sequence; Must sequence AT altitude.
WINDOW	Must remain AT or BELOW upper bound until waypoint sequence; Must remain AT or ABOVE lower bound upon waypoint sequence	Must remain AT or BELOW upper bound upon waypoint sequence; Must remain AT or ABOVE lower bound until waypoint sequence

Mike suggested the following set of speed constraints by flight phase:

Speed Constraint Type	Speed Constraint Phase/Applicability	
	CLIMB	DESCENT
AT or BELOW	Must remain AT or BELOW until waypoint sequence	Must remain AT or BELOW upon waypoint sequence
AT or ABOVE	Must remain AT or ABOVE upon waypoint sequence	Must remain AT or ABOVE until waypoint sequence
AT	Must remain AT or BELOW until waypoint sequence; Must remain AT or ABOVE upon waypoint sequence; Must sequence AT altitude.	Must remain AT or BELOW upon waypoint sequence; Must remain AT or ABOVE until waypoint sequence; Must sequence AT altitude.

The FMS Subcommittee expressed general support for the concepts and the need to elaborate the guidance provided in RTCA DO-236C. This input will be updated for review at the next meeting.

## ACARS Interface Extensions

Jendrick Westphal, Jeppesen, provided an input that was discussed in absentia. The FMS Subcommittee discussed a proposal to revise Section 4.3.6, AOC Function, to support the evolution of the Electronic Flight Bag (EFB). EFB suppliers have requested access to aircraft parameters.

The Jeppesen input reports that the existing ARINC 702A-4 text describes the AOC function for use on the ground. The description could be updated to include an onboard use of this interface. The Jeppesen input requested that the AOC interface be expanded to include onboard usage through an interface to onboard electronic devices (e.g., EFBs).

The FMS Subcommittee expressed concern with this input on the grounds that the desired capability is not clear. The FMS resides in the Aircraft Control Domain (ACD) and it is unlikely that it will connect directly to an EFB. Jeppesen will be invited to attend the next meeting and clarify their proposal.

Boeing provided comments on this section. They recommended that the existing Commentary in Section 4.3.6 be deleted from ARINC 702A on the grounds that it is inaccurate. The FMS Subcommittee concurred.

## **Additional Topics for Consideration**

The FMS Subcommittee discussed the possibility of including additional guidance in ARINC Characteristic 702A. Several topics were identified and where there is sufficient interest a discussion paper will be prepared for review at the next meeting.

### **FAS Data Block Interface**

The FMS Subcommittee discussed Final Approach Segment (FAS) data block. Sylvain Hamel, CMC, volunteered to provide a discussion paper for review at the next meeting.

### **ETA Windows and TOA Control**

The FMS Subcommittee discussed Estimated Time of Arrival (ETA) Windows and Time of Arrival (TOA) Control. Sam Miller volunteered to provide a discussion paper for review at the next meeting.

### **Backup Navigation**

The FMS Subcommittee discussed backup navigation capabilities. This capability may involve other equipment (e.g., MCDU or display). This material would be a summary of current industry practices and provide guidance as necessary. This was viewed to be a low priority topic.

### **FLS/IAN Interface**

The FMS Subcommittee discussed the need for a standardize interface for FMS Landing System and Instrument Approach Navigation (FLS/IAN). Boeing expressed the view that IAN is a flight deck integration issue. Boeing is opposed to standardizing this particular interface. The FMS Subcommittee concurred.

The FMS Landing System interface may be defined independent of IAN. Mike Bakker volunteered to prepare a discussion paper for review at the next meeting.

### **Datalink Requirements**

The FMS Subcommittee discussed emerging datalink requirements. This involves the emerging datalink standards in Europe and the USA. There are differences that need to be identified. Interfaces to FANS 1/A and ATN Baseline 2 will be included in Section 4.3.7.1, CNS/ATM Datalinks.

The FMS Subcommittee may have to harmonize this input with RTCA and other AEEC Subcommittees. Randy Walter and Sam Miller offered to prepare an outline for this section that will be reviewed at the next meeting.

### **Intent Bus**

The FMS Subcommittee discussed the aircraft state and intent bus definition currently provided as Section 4.3.7.2.4, Position/Intent Broadcast (ADS-B) of ARINC 702A and the need to align this definition with the latest RTCA Standards. This will be discussed after the EPP Frame is defined.

### **EPP Frame**

The FMS Subcommittee discussed Extended Projected Profile (EPP) and how it may contribute to 4D trajectory. The FMS Subcommittee expressed the desire to include this definition in Supplement 5. This topic will be discussed at the next meeting.

### **FMS Datalink Interfaces for AOC and the Role of EFB**

The FMS Subcommittee discussed Airline Operational Communications (AOC)

and supported the need for guidance on this topic. This topic will be discussed at the next meeting.

## **Other Business**

A Glossary of Terms will be added as Appendix C to ARINC Characteristic 702A.

## **Future Work Program**

Each of the respective discussion papers will be updated for review and discussion at the next meeting. The FMS Subcommittee identified the desire for monthly web conferences starting with a tentative May 4 conference.

Future meetings were planned as follows:

- **FMS Subcommittee** – July 6-8, 2016
- **FMS Subcommittee** – November 29 to December 1, 2016

The goal is to produce a mature Supplement 5 at the end of 2017.

Comments and questions about these activities should be directed to Paul Prisaznuk at ARINC Industry Activities at [pjp@sae-itc.org](mailto:pjp@sae-itc.org).



## Attendees

Bakker, Mike  
Colburn, Matthew  
Deker, Guy  
Hamel, Sylvain  
Jaeger, Tom  
Miller, Sam  
Paricaud, Erwan  
Prisaznuk, Paul  
Walter, Randy

GE Aviation  
The Boeing Company  
Thales  
Esterline CMC Electronics  
American Airlines  
Mitre Corporation  
Honeywell, Inc.  
SAE ITC, ARINC IA  
GE Aviation

# Attachment 1



**FMS Subcommittee  
March 29-31, 2016  
GE Aviation – Grand Rapids, Michigan  
Agenda – draft**

1. Welcome and Introductions
  - a. GE Aviation Facility Notes
2. Review of Agenda
3. ARINC Standard Development Process and IP Policies
4. APIM 15-005 Review – Supplement 5 to ARINC 702A
5. Review use of GE Box for collaboration
6. Review Proposed Revisions – Mature Drafts
  - a. Magnetic Variation Model Updates – MITRE
  - b. Lateral Path Transition Containment (bank angle limits) – MITRE
  - c. Fixed Radius Turn Refinements – MITRE
  - d. Crew selection of RNP Values for AR Approach – MITRE
7. Review Proposed Revisions – Initial Drafts
  - a. Lateral Offset Recommendations – CMC
  - b. Temperature Compensation – CMC
  - c. Vertical Path Construction – GE/MITRE
  - d. AT, AT or ABOVE Speed Constraints – GE
  - e. ACARS Interface Extensions – Jeppesen
8. Discuss Remaining Items – Scope, Alignment, and Way Forward
  - a. Minimal level of effort (material already available)
    - i. FAS Data Block Interface
  - b. Medium level of effort
    - i. ETA Windows and TOA Control
    - ii. Backup Navigation
    - iii. FLS/IAN Interface
  - c. Higher level of effort
    - i. Datalink Requirements
    - ii. Intent Bus (Need to decide on elimination or alignment).
    - iii. EPP Frame
    - iv. FMS Datalink Interfaces for AOC and role of EFB (proposed item)
9. PPT Report to AEEC General Session
10. Action Items
11. Future Meetings
12. Web Conferences – May 5, 2016
13. Next FMS Subcommittee Meeting
  - a. Dates / location TBD
14. Adjourn

# Attachment 2

## ARINC Project Initiation/Modification (APIM)

### 1. Name of Proposed Project

APIM 15-005

ARINC 702A-5: Advanced Flight Management Functional Definition

Software specification only

yes  no

### 1.1 Name of Originator & Organization

Mike Bakker, GE Aviation Systems LLC

### 2. Suggested Subcommittee Assignment and Project Support

#### 2.1 Suggested AEEC group

FMS Subcommittee

#### 2.2 Support for the Activity (as verified)

##### Airlines:

American Airlines

Delta Air Lines

FedEx

Lufthansa

Southwest

TAP Portugal

United Airlines

US Air Force

UPS

##### Airframers:

Airbus

##### Suppliers:

Esterline CMC Electronics

GE Aviation

Rockwell Collins

Thales

##### Others:

The MITRE Corp

SABRE

### 2.3 Commitment for Drafting and Meeting Participation (as verified)

Organizations: GE Aviation, MITRE

### 2.4 Recommended Coordination with other Industry Groups

The following AEEC Subcommittee activities are relevant to this topic:

- SAI Subcommittee (ARINC Report 660B)

The following RTCA/EUROCAE activities are relevant to this topic:

- RTCA SC-227/EUROCAE WG-85 (DO-236C - Change 1 / ED-75D)
- RTCA SC-214/EUROCAE WG-78 (DO-350 / ED-228)

## 3. Project Scope

### 3.1 Description

A number of key technologies have emerged and evolved in a manner different than that envisioned at the time ARINC 702A was written. Many of these evolutions are discussed in ARINC 660B and part of the larger CNS/ATM initiatives of NextGen and SESAR to increase the capacity and efficiency of the airspace. These evolutions include enhanced datalink, satellite-based approach procedures, airport moving map and guidance, and electronic flight bags. Other evolutions include graphical user interfaces (ARINC 661) and software partitioning (ARINC 653).

The project proposed will prepare Supplement 5 to ARINC 702A to take the aforementioned evolutions into account and thus align the standard with the current and future avionics architectures as detailed in ARINC 660B. The standard will also be updated to align with and point to applicable RTCA/EUROCAE standards in support of NextGEN and SESAR initiatives such as Performance-Based Navigation (PBN), Trajectory Based Operations (TBO), and enhanced datalink.

The resultant ARINC 702A-5 will be used for new airplane development programs as well as retrofit programs to better equip aircraft for operation in the NextGen and SESAR airspaces. It is recognized that some existing sections of ARINC 702A may not directly apply to some newer avionics architectures. It is hoped that a future project will address this issue and the other evolutions identified earlier (i.e. graphical user interfaces, software partitioning) as part of a future ARINC Project Paper 702B. In any case, it should be recognized that: (a) the proposed changes are applicable to both older and newer avionics architectures and (b) many older aircraft will operate in the NextGEN and SESAR airspaces and will benefit from an updated ARINC Standard.

### 3.2 Planned usage of the envisioned specification

New aircraft developments planned to use this specification

yes  no







Product offerable from more than one supplier (competitive environment)      yes  no

Please identify:      Aircraft manufacturers, avionics manufacturers

## 4.2 Specific Project Benefits

This project will bring ARINC 702A into alignment with industry initiatives and activities that have transpired since the last major update. It provides a forum to advance and shape those initiatives from an airline and manufacturer perspective. In many ways, it is an extension of the concepts and requirements outlined in ARINC 660B. It will advance the NextGen and SESAR operational concepts which, in turn, provide a number of benefits to airlines, airframe manufacturers, and avionics suppliers.

### 4.2.1 Project Benefit for Airlines

Airlines will benefit from increased standardization and clarification of the Flight Management Computer functionality and its role in bringing about the future airspace. Airlines could also benefit from more clarity relative to the datalink interface and an enhanced ACARS interface.

### 4.2.2 Project Benefit for Airframe Manufacturers

Airframe Manufacturers will also benefit from increased standardization and clarification of the Flight Management Computer functionality and its role in bringing about the future airspace. Manufacturers could also benefit from more clarity relative to the datalink interface and may derive benefits from an enhanced ACARS interface.

### 4.2.2.3 Project Benefit for Avionics Equipment Suppliers

Avionics Suppliers will benefit from increased standardization and clarification of the Flight Management Computer functionality and its role in the evolving airspace. Suppliers will also benefit from more clarity relative to the datalink interface. A clear picture of both airline and airframe manufacturer needs and preferences relative to the evolving airspace will help guide research, investment, and implementation.

## 5. Documents to be Produced and Date of Expected Result

ARINC 702A-5: Advanced Flight Management Computer System Standard

## 6. Meetings/Expected Document Completion

The following table identifies the number of meetings and proposed meeting days needed to produce the documents described above.

This activity to be completed within the approved work program and meeting schedule for the FMS Subcommittee:

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Mtgs</b>	<b>Mtg-Days 2016</b>	<b>Mtg-Days 2017</b>
ARINC 702A-5	6 meetings (3 per year)	9	9

**In-person meetings will be augmented with monthly web conferences as needed.**

### **6.1 Expiration Date for this APIM**

December 2017

### **7. Comments**

Send this document to the AEEC Executive Secretary and please include any other information deemed useful for managing this work.