

INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION

A UN SPECIALIZED AGENCY

ICAO Survey on Moving from a Magnetic to a True North Reference System for Heading and Tracking in Aviation Operations

Bryan DeCouto Secretary of the ICAO Instrument Flight Procedures Panel

AEEC True North Navigation Symposium 9 May 2023

Introduction

Background, objectives and methodology of the survey

Background

- Canada presented a WP at AN-Conf/12 (Nov 2012) and AN-Conf/13 (Oct 2018) and an IP at the HLCC (Oct 2021), to discuss the topic of moving to a true north reference system in air operations.
- At IFPP/15 (March 2022), Canada also presented a WP to the Panel to consider a global switch to true north. The Panel supported the initiative but requested ICAO carry out a survey to investigate the level of support of States and industry for such a proposal.

Objectives

- Determine the level of support of States and their aviation industry for ICAO to work on moving to true north.
- Identify any concerns or challenges that may need to be addressed for a transition to true north.
- The findings of the survey may be used to aid ICAO in developing any plans and strategies for true north.

Methodology

- The survey was conducted online using Microsoft Forms.
- 65 survey questions divided by stakeholders
- The survey link was sent by State Letter (SL AN11/57-22/87) on 21/9/2022.
- A link was provided in the SL to an ICAO website with supporting information related to the topic of true north.
- Two ICAO webinars were conducted to further inform States and industry of all considerations.

Respondents

- 564 responses from 103 States during survey period (21/09/2022 31/12/2022)
- 37% of responses from EURNAT and 34% from APAC

ICAO region of respondents





Distribution of responses by stakeholders

 Air operators, State CAAs and ANSPs accounted for 77% of respondents

Respondents

÷

ICA0

STATE CAA ANSP AIR OPERATOR 6% Safety Aeronautical Seminanage 8% information Private ANSP government service 13% 25% Airworthine Commercial General aviation 11% aeroplane operator operator 33% 58% Aerodrome Flight State-owned 14% Commercial operations ANSP 20% 84% Air traffic management 16%

Distribution within stakeholders





Level of support for moving to true north





Support by stakeholder

• Overall 61% support and 9% do not support



Somewhat not support E Strongly not support

Estimated timeframe to implement true north

- Majority of respondents indicated 10 years or less to implement true north with 81%
- OEM's were only outlier of overall trend with 32% indicating greater than 15 years

Overall responses



Heat map of responses by stakeholders

Stakeholders	Less than 5 years	5-10 years	10-15 years	Greater than 15 years
Overall	37%	43%	13%	7%
State CAAs	36%	47%	13%	4%
ANSPs	48%	41%	8%	3%
Aerodrome	45%	38%	12%	5%
Air Operators	32%	43%	16%	9%
OEM	27%	27%	14%	32%
Flight procedures	42%	44%	11%	2%
Others	19%	54%	12%	15%

Air operator

Recurring Activities to maintain MAGVAR

\checkmark	Updating FMS	54%
\checkmark	Annual Compass/AHRU alignment	51%
\checkmark	Training personnel	41%
\checkmark	Maintaining operating restrictions and ADs	27%
	Updating IRU MAGVAR tables	16%

Air operator

What activities will change with true north?

\checkmark	Training personnel	69%
\checkmark	Retrofit aircraft equipment	63%
\checkmark	IRUs would need to enable the MAG/TRUE functions	44%
\checkmark	Magnetically Slaved AHRS would have a one-time change	39%

Manufacturers

ICAO

What activities will change with true north?



Already operate in Remote and Oceanic Airspace in True?



remote and oceanic airspace – all air operators

True north operations in

Already operate in Polar areas in True?



What is the approximate annual cost in U.S. Dollars to your organization to maintain the current Magnetic North EPOCH tables within the FMS/IRU?

CAO ICAO

Costs to maintain MAGVAR – Air Operator

- Received useable cost data from approximately 40% of respondents
- Majority of responses for FMS/IRU updates for commercial operators was between 0-100K USD

Examples FMS/IRU MAGVAR Updates Costs

	Fleet size	FMS/IRU cost over 10 years (\$USD)	Cost per aircraft (\$USD)
	900	\$40,300,000	\$47,305
Large scale	632	\$27,500,000	\$43,513
	300	\$10,600,000	\$35,587
Middle scale	200	\$8,000,000	\$40,000
	50	\$1,000,000	\$25,000
Small scale	10	\$4,000,000	\$40,000
Average			\$38,567

Foreseen benefits – Air operators

Other benefits mentioned:

- Reduced workload and more simplified operations
- Improved safety
- Long-term cost savings
- Makes use of today's advanced technology

Foreseen benefits – Manufacturers

Other benefits mentioned:

- Simplified operations and logic, particularly in areas of magnetic disturbance
- Eliminate the management of offsets in MAG/True reference NAV aids when switching from SDA to NDA regions
- Less limitations for operators to perform Cat II/III operations, who did not update Magvar databases in due time
- Less activity to identify operational limitations if Magvar not updated

Potential challenges – Air operators

Potential challenges – Manufacturers

Other identified challenges

Air Operators

- Aircraft retrofit (downtime, parts logistics) (18)
- Training personnel (16)
- Need for a comprehensive transition plan supported by regulations & guidance (13)
- Insufficient one-time cost (9)
- General aviation related issues (9)
- Resistance to change / awareness promotion (7)

Manufacturers

- Significant transition costs including integration of aircraft systems (10)
- Older and GA aircraft without IRU/INS (8)
- Safety concern of a mix system during transition (3)
- Harmonization across all related stakeholders (3)

OEM navigation equipment unable to function in true north

3 OEM respondents answered they do produce AHRUs or other navigation equipment that is unable to function in true north:

OEM 1: Three units currently produced are unable to function in True North. However, other equipment is impacted. Significantly, the Primary Flight Displays and Input/Output Concentrators, among others, would be impacted.

OEM 2: *MEMS-based AHRS utilized in General Aviation, and most Business Aviation applications do not have the ability to gyrocompass or measure True North.*

OEM 3: The vast majority of our aircraft can switch between magnetic and true north. However, some older model airplanes that may still be flying post-2030 may not readily function in True North.

Key questions identified by the survey

- What timeframe will be needed to transition to true north globally?
- How will global acceptance and a harmonized transition be achieved?
- Should a transition to true north take a phased approach on a regional basis or be done concurrently across States and industry?
- What are the safety risks associated with a change to true north and how can they be identified and mitigated?
- What is the scope of impact, including equipment changes and operational changes, for general aviation and small aircraft operations?
- What will be the impact on large aircraft systems, equipment and operations?

Summary

- Significant support for true north with 61% of respondents in support, 30% neutral and 9% not in support
- Top benefits include:
 - Long term cost savings
 - Improvements to aviation safety
 - Elimination of two systems for reporting weather
- Many implementation related challenges identified:
 - Need for a comprehensive transition plan and CONOPs
 - Harmonized transition and coordination with all relevant stakeholders
 - Resistance to change and awareness promotion
 - Potentially significant costs for equipping aircraft and integration of aircraft systems, particularly older and GA aircraft
- Inconclusive data on the cost benefit, may require further investigation

Next steps

- Secretariat to brief Air Navigation Commission during 223 session – June 2023
- After ANC briefing the full survey report will be released
- Secretariat meeting with key organizations to better understand the issues
- Secretariat will propose next steps on True North to the ANC during the 224 session (Fall 2023), which may include forming of a study group to further study the topic and develop a CONOPs and Transition Plan

