

AEEC SAI Timely Recovery of Flight Data (TRFD) Meeting #8

Date: 17-19 June 2019

Location: Toulouse, France

Please send any corrections or additions to Greg Moran.

Agenda:

- Continue review of consolidated requirements and architecture study.

Discussion:

Organization

TRFD deliverables and schedule are as discussed in APIM 17-005 section 5.1. Our goal to generate a draft report addressing phases 1 & 2 in time for discussion at the Hawaii meeting, ahead of the APIM schedule.

Activity	Start Date	Completion Date
Phase 1: document the end-to-end system requirements	June, 2018	December, 2018
i. Define TRFD requirement source documents (ICAO SARP, industry standard, regulation) COMPLETE 13 June 2018		
ii. Define TRFD functional block diagram COMPLETE 13 June 2018		
iii. Capture requirements and recommendations from source documents COMPLETE 15 January 2019		
iv. Identify any additional requirements and recommendations COMPLETE 18 December 2018		
v. Allocate requirements and recommendation to functional blocks COMPLETE 15 January 2019		
vi. Develop section 2 of the report IN WORK June 2019		
Phase 2: develop candidate architectures and select architecture(s)	January, 2019	December, 2019
i. Develop candidate architectures for ADFR and TFD COMPLETE 24 August 2018		
ii. Develop section 3 of the report IN WORK ECD December 2019		
Phase 3: develop detailed equipment interface, and aircraft installation requirements, as well as ground system requirements	January, 2020	September, 2020
Re-evaluate schedule early 2020.		

Distribute requirements & architecture study report [draft 1 after this meeting \(July, 2019\)](#).

Complete of phase 1 December, 2018 and phase 2 December, 2019 supports AEEC general session approval for requirements & architecture report (phase 1 and 2) March, 2020. Phase 3 completion September, 2020 may need to be adjusted based on characteristic and/or specifications recommended in requirements and architectures report. TRFD team preference to extend due date as TRFD is a new type certification mandate rather than the ADT forward-fit mandate, and ADT phase 3 took longer than 9 months.

SharePoint

- Meeting and teleconference minutes at *681 Input\7.) Meetings and Teleconferences*
- References at *681 Input\2.) References*
- Requirements at *681 Input\3.) Requirements*
- Report drafts and revision process at *681 Input\8.) TRFD Requirements and Architectures Report*

Technical

The key term 'location of the point of end of flight' (EASA term) was modified to 'locate end of flight' for this report, for ease of reading.

Functional block 5 was changed to a dashed line as it is not a core function of TRFD, although is a core function of one of the technologies (ADFR) which can address TRFD.

Updated discussion regarding ED-112A was provided by Greg Smith and accepted by the group. Further work is needed for identifying which elements of ED-112A would apply to TFD.

The following outstanding items impact section 2:

- Editorial updates
- ICAO annex 13 & Doc9756 discussion
- Remove requirements / recommendations from draft documents, as the report should address only mature source documents. The group agreed to retain CPOs flagged for possible update, as the NPA is expected October, 2019.
- References and discussion for EASA NPA 2019-06 relating to eTSOs, as necessary if eTSOs impose requirements above ED-112A.

Section 3 TFD and AFDR architecture studies were reviewed at a high level; editorial review to follow once content is mature.

Our requirements / recommendations table will be separated into two tables for requirements / recommendations to functional block mapping, one for ADFR and another for TFD. The identity code will allow the reader to correlate to the requirements / recommendation table in section 2.

Discussion on the meaning of Doc10054 TFD priority table. Originally intended to define the priority for data transmission should insufficient (non-normal) bandwidth be (temporarily) available, some understood this to guidance for system design (what flight data to include in the system if under normal conditions insufficient bandwidth was available). Doc10054 also includes requirements for minimum flight data to be transmitted: real-time mandatory FDR parameters, two CVR audio channels and data link messages. Historical data as well as other flight data (non-mandatory FDR parameters, AIR/FCMIR) is not required by Doc10054 for transmission.

Bandwidth estimates were provided for transmission of various types of flight data, and unexpected AIR/FCMIR for a single channel consumes significant bandwidth relative to other flight data types.

Triggered transmission also consumes at least twice the bandwidth of continuous transmission as real-time and historical flight data are transmitted concurrently. The group discussed whether performance

requirements for transmission of a minimum duration of historical data would be appropriate, which Doc10054 did not define.

Another type of parametric data separate from FDR (DAL D) is airplane condition monitoring system (DAL E) which is not discussed in Doc10054. The group felt that if an operator wish to transmit such data it should not be disallowed.

Additional content is needed for TFD functional blocks including data transport (available protocols, encryption, multiple ‘pipes’, etc.) and off-airplane storage (cloud v local servers, cybersecurity, etc.).

The ADFR presently has focus on ELT but is suggested to focus on the recording aspect with ELT discussion minimized since this aspect is addressed in ARINC 680. Question whether requirements source documents provide guidance on SAR recovery of ADFR, and whether those requirements should be included in our report.

Up-coming schedule:

- Teleconference 14 10 July 2019 8a Pacific *scheduled*
- Teleconference 15 31 July 2019 8a Pacific *scheduled*
- Teleconference 16 21 August 2019 8a Pacific *scheduled*
- Teleconference 17 18 September 2019 8a Pacific *proposed*
- Teleconference 18 9 October 2019 8a Pacific *proposed*
- Face-to-face meeting Washington, DC 13-15 November 2019 *scheduled*

Actions:

Open -

ID	Action	Assigned	ECD
190226-C	Editorial: move document references not included in requirements table to appendix	John Fisher	TBD
190605-A	Review EASA NPA 2019-06 for ETSO (FDR, CVR, DLR, AIR, ADFR, NRLB, RLB, ELT) impact to TRFD (John), and revise discussion as needed if ETSO requirements are above that of ED-112A (Blake).	John Fisher & Blake	03 July 2019
190617-A	Add general note in requirements and recommendations tables regarding the applicability of ED-112A to TRFD, where appropriate.	Greg Smith	10 July 2019
190617-B	Review ED-112A for specific elements that apply to TFD e.g. start/stop logic, data handling, CVR audio quality, derive bandwidth, data compression, documentation.	Greg Moran	03 July 2019
190617-C	Editorial: add introductory sub-section in section 2 for an organization when that organization has two or more applicable documents.	John Fisher	03 July 2019
190617-D	Editorial: ARINC 681 key term is 'location of end of flight', to be used throughout this report. Our terms equates to EASA term 'location of the point of end of flight'.	John Fisher	03 July 2019

190617-E	Editorial: update figures to latest available from contributors.	John Fisher	03 July 2019
190617-F	Add EASA RMT.0400 to GAT SharePoint	Greg Moran	03 July 2019
190617-G	Remove requirements/recommendations from draft NPAs (without number assigned & not publically available). CPOs can remain but flagged as requiring an update when NPA is released (expected October, 2019).	Greg Moran	03 July 2019
190617-H	Add requirements/recommendations with functional block assignments specific to ADFR and TFD in respective architecture study subsections. Renumber identity code for requirements/recommendations tables to sequential rather than follow ADT numbering convention.	Greg Moran	03 July 2019
190617-I	Develop requirements/recommendations Pivot table as an aid to readers in filtering requirements/recommendations by technology and functional block.	Blake	10 July 2019
190617-J	Develop content for TFD architecture study, data transport functional block discussion (section 3.2.3.3)	Rubin Stepin	21 Aug 2019
190617-K	Develop content for TFD architecture study, off aircraft storage functional block discussion (section 3.2.3.4).	Janine Roux	21 Aug 2019
190617-L	Review ICAO or other guidance regarding guidance for SAR recovering the ADFR, which could be added to our requirements/recommendations tables.	Blake	10 July 2019

Closed –

ID	Action	Assigned	Closed
180411-A	Compile requirements source documents	Hannes / Greg Moran	17 May 2018
180411-B	Compile requirements from source documents into spreadsheet. “shall” = minimum requirements “should” = recommendations	Greg Moran	17 May 2018
180411-C	Draft TRFD functional block diagram	Greg Smith	18 May 2018
180522-A	Circulate EASA document (HKCAD and CAAS regulatory material)	Hannes / Greg Moran	31 May 2018
180522-B	Update TRFD functional block diagram	Greg Smith / Miro	13 June 2018
180522-C	Update requirements table for ADFR	Blake	6 June 2018
180522-D	Provided requirements table numbering scheme	Greg Moran	31 May 2018
180613-A	Add EASA location of an aircraft in distress to requirements map.	Greg Moran	13 June 2018
180710-B	Review Distress Events MASPS ED-237 and add applicable requirements & recommendations to TRFD table.	John	31 July 2018
180710-C	Identify location of EASA AIR OPS regulations.	Greg	10 July 2018
180710-E	Develop document for TFD candidate architecture	Miro	31 July 2018
180710-F	Review TFRD requirements/recommendations table to a) confirm functional block allocation and b) identify any missing requirements/recommendations	All	31 July 2018
180710-D	Develop document for ADFR candidate architecture	Blake	31 July 2018 6 Aug 2018
180613-B	Add combination recorder and FDR & DLR duration requirements (only CVR duration was added) in TRFD Minimum Requirements.	Blake	31 July 2018
180710-A	Review ELT MOPS ED-62B and add applicable requirements & recommendations to TRFD table.	Tom/Blake	31 July 2018
180731-A	Provide descriptive text for functional block diagram for inclusion into requirements section 2.	Greg Smith	21 Aug 2018
181026-A	Follow up with expected changes to ICAO Annex 13 for data protection and access issues.	Greg Smith	4 Dec 2018
181026-B	Should lithium battery references as listed in ADT also be listed for TRFD?	Blake	4 Dec 2018
181026-C	Review requirements/recommendations table to determine any changes or any which are missing.	All	18 Dec 2018
180731-B	Review ICAO Annex 13 and possibly also Doc9756 for applicable requirements/recommendations & create verbiage for how this is interpreted in section 2	Hannes	15 Jan 2019
181218-B	Review ED-62B requirements and recommendation for functional block assignment	Blake	15 Jan 2019
180918-A	Update section 2 from ADT requirements & architecture study for TRFD.		19 Feb 2019
	• Update Figure 5 for TRFD.	John	
	• Update Figures 4 & 11 and text after Figure 7 for TRFD; add subsections regarding HKCAD, CAAS and NPA 2018-03 (section 2.3.7)	Greg Moran	
	• Update Figure 11 for TRFD	Miro	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm deletion of EU regulation section copied from ADT report 	Blake	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add subsection regarding ED-112A (similar to ED-237, section 2.3.9) 	Greg Smith	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add subsections for ED-62B (section 2.3.10), EASA CPOs and NPA TBD (section 2.3.7). 	Blake	
180918-B	Update section 3 for ADFR architecture	Blake, Claude, Bill	19 Feb 2019
190206-A	Finalize requirements / recommendations table – remove items marked for deletion, etc.	Robin	12 Feb 2019
190206-B	Provide section 2.4.2 input for TRFD key terms: applicable requirements document(s), requirement(s) quote(s) if necessary and identification of items needing further analysis/discussion in later sections. Refer to ADT ARINC 680 draft for example(s).		4 Apr 2019
	Distress	Greg Smith -> Greg Moran	
	Flight data, Timely	Greg Moran	
	Location of the point of end of flight	Blake	
	Recovery, Timely recovery of flight data	Hannes -> Greg Moran	
190226-A	Update Figure 2 & related discussion to be TRFD specific	John Fisher	2 Apr 2019
190226-B	Add TRFD description in Table 1	John Fisher	2 Apr 2019
190226-D	Add ADFR & TFD architecture study to section 3 of straw-man draft.	Greg Moran -> John Fisher	2 Apr 2019
190404-A	Reformat key term discussion to table format rather than quoting directly from requirements source document.	Greg Moran	23 April 2019
190404-B	Add ICAO Annex 13 5.14 to requirements map MS Excel file and associated table.	Greg Moran	23 April 2019
190404-D	Provide ARINC report document template	Peter Grau	4 Apr 2019
190404-C	Update TFD architecture study based on feedback provided to date.	Miro and John	15 May 2019
180918-C	Update section 3 for TFD architecture (draft 4 available for review at GAT SharePoint 681 Input / 6.) Architecture for Continuous Data Transmission)	Miro	15 May 2019
180918-D	Provide feedback for ADFR & TFD architectures to Blake & Miro, respectively	All	18 June 2019
181218-A	Review ED-112A requirements and recommendations for technology and functional block assignment, or further discussion to be added to requirements section 2 (e.g. mandatory parameter list).	Greg Smith	18 June 2019

Attachment 1

ARINC 681 Report Revision Process

John Fisher, Technical Editor

- Trust but verify – I make changes and mistakes, I am not the best guy for the job but the best one we have, please help me by pointing out typos, mistakes etc. and please be patient.
- Configuration control – if you fail to provide comments to a version and we start a new version, you will need to incorporate your comments into the newer version.
- If you comment after the due date I'll try to incorporate it, but you may have to wait until the next version to provide comments.

“Bad” comments will be noted, for example:

Text	Comment	Response
“The FDR must data when turned on”	Need to improve language.	Noted, no change.

“Good” comments will be addressed, for example:

Text	Comment	Response
“The FDR must data when turned on.”	The FDR must record data when turned on.	Agreed, text changed.
“The FDR must data when turned on.”	Add ‘record’ after the word mus.t	Agreed, text changed.

- If you submit a comment, please verify it was incorporated into the document, (if it is not done in front of you on the spot at a meeting etc.). I try not to make errors of omission but may do anyway. Please perform quality control on my work!